CHAPTER 2

VEDIC LITERATURE

At the core of Vedic literature are the Mantra samhitā, Brāhmaṇas, the Āraṇyakas and the Upaniṣads. Among the Vedas, the Rgveda occupies a prominent place. Rgveda consists of 10 Books or Mandalas and 1017 hymns or Sūktas. The total number of verses in the Rgveda is 10,580. Even the words and the letters of the Veda have been counted, numbering 1,53,826 and 4,32,000 respectively. Some of the names of the great seer-poets who have received the mantras through inspiration and revelation include Vasistha, Viśvāmitra, Vāmadeva, Bharadvāja, Atri, and Madhuchhanda. Six of the maṇḍalas are devoted to the hymns composed by a single Rsi or the family of the Rsi. Thus the second mandalas is devoted chiefly to the sūktas of the Rsi Grtsamada, the third and seventh similarly to the great names of Viśvāmitra and Vaśiṣṭha respectively, the fourth to Vāmadeva, and the sixth to Bharadvāja. The fifth mandalas contains the hymns of the house of Atri. In each of these mandalas the sūtras addressed to Agni are first collected together, followed by those of which Indra is the deity, and finally the invocations of other Gods, Brhaspati, $S\bar{u}rya$, Rbhus, $Us\bar{a}$ etc, close the mandalas. A whole book, the ninth, is devoted to a single God, Soma. The first, eighth and tenth mandalas are collections of $s\bar{u}tras$ by various Rsis, but the hymns of each seer are ordinarily placed together in the order of the deities to whom they are addressed, Agni leading, followed by Indra and then the other Gods.

According to the *Matsyapurāṇa*, the *yajurveda* was the only *Veda* in the beginning. The same view is held in *Vāyupurāṇa* and *Viṣṇupurāṇa*. It was *Vedavyāsa* who arranged four *Samhitās* according to the requirements of the processes of symbolic sacrifice, and he transmitted the *Rgveda* to *Paila*, the

Yajurveda to Vaiṣampāyana, the Sāmaveda to Jaiminī and the Atharvaveda to Sumantu. In due course they transmitted them to their pupils, and in this fashion of transmission from teacher to disciple the tradition of oral transmission was developed. In the Bhāgavata and in several Purāṇas there is a detailed description of the various $\hat{S}akhas$ of the Veda. There is a similar description in $\hat{S}\bar{a}ntiparva$ of the $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}rata$ and there is an organized information on the śākhās of the Vedas in the Caraṇavyūha. There are three notable books of Caranavyūha attributed, respectively to Śaunak, Kātvāvana and Vyāsa. The total number of śākhās is believed to be 1131, but at present only 10 śākhās remain. As far as the Rgveda is concerned only one śākhās remains out of the original 21, which existed at one time. There is a claim that the śānkhyāyana śākhā is still known to a few Vedapāthins in Uttarpradesh and Gujarat, but this is not certain. As far as the Yajurveda is concerned, Patanjali had declared in his great Vyākaraņa Mahābhāsya that it had 101 $\dot{s}\bar{a}kh\bar{a}$. But today only 5 $\dot{s}\bar{a}kh\bar{a}$ are known. In fact, the Yajurveda is classified broadly into the Śukla Yajurveda and the Kṛṣṇa Yajurveda. The Śukla Yajurveda is also known as Vājasaneyi. The Vājasaneyi Samhitā has 30 Adhyāyas or chapters, 303 Anuvāks, 1975 Kāṇḍikas, 29625 words and 88875 letters. There are two extant śākhās of the śukla or Vājasaneyi Yajurveda, namely, Kāṇva and Mādhyandīna. The Kṛṣṇa Yajurveda has 5 extant śākhā namely Apastamba (taittīriya), Hiraņyakeśī (kapiṣṭhala), Kaṭha, Kaṭhaka, and Kalāpa or Maitrāyaṇī. Maitrāyaṇi samhitā has 4 kāṇḍas which are sub-divided into Prapāṭhakas. In this samhitā there are 3144 mantras of which 1701 are Rks from the Rgveda. In this samhitā there are mantras and rituals of the important sacrifices like those of Caturmāsya, Vājapeya, Aśvamedha, Rājasūya, Sautrāmaņi etc. The Taittirīya samhitā has 7 kāṇḍas, 44 Prapāṭhakas and 631 Anuvāks. In this samhitā there is a description of sacrifices like the

Rājasūya, Yajamāna, Paurodaśa etc. Apart from the Adhvaryu, there is also the Udgātā in a sacrifice, who chants certain specific mantras. The collection of mantras meant for the Udgātā has been called the Sāmaveda. Both in the Caraṇavyūha and in the Patañjali Mahābhāṣya it is indicated that the Sāmaveda had a thousand śākhā. Sāmaveda is musical in character and it contains only those Rks which can be set to music. There are 1549 Rks in the Sāmaveda, and only 75 of them are independent of the Rgveda. At present, the Sāmaveda has only 3 existing śākhā, namely Kauthuma, Rāṇāyaṇīya and Jaimīnya.

The Rks are transformed into songs of Sāma by the appropriate addition of words or stobhas, such as ha, u, ho, i, o, ho, oh, ou, ha etc. Apart from 'hotā' connected with the Rgveda, 'Aduvaryu' connected with the Yajurveda. Udgātā connected with the Sāmaveda there is a fourth priest called Brahmā who is supposed to be a specialist of all the four Vedas, including the Atharveda, Rgveda, Yajurveda and Sāmaveda are collectively called Vedatrayī, and the Atharveda is not included in the Vedatrayī, although it has a significant place in the Karmakāṇḍa of the Vedas. The Atharveda is also known as Atharvāṅgirasa Atharvaveda and has two kinds of mantras—those relating to the cure of diseases and destruction of wild animals, piśācas and enemies and those relating to establishment of peace in the family and village as also those relating to health, wealth, protection and friendship with enemies. The origin of Āyurveda is to be found in the Atharvaveda.

The *saṃhitā* of the *Atharvaveda* has 20 *kāṇḍas* which have 34 *prapāṭhakas*, 111 *anuvāks*, 739 *sūktas* and 5849 *mantras*. About 1200 *mantras* are common with those of the *Rgveda*. One sixth of the *Atharvaveda* is in prose

while the rest is poetic. $Pata \tilde{n}jali$ has indicated that the Atharvaveda has 9 $\dot{s}akha$, but now only 2 remain namely, Paippalada and $\dot{s}aunaka$.

Apart from the four Vedas and their numerous $\dot{sa}kh\bar{a}$, there is the vast literature of the $Br\bar{a}hmanas$. The appendices of the $Br\bar{a}hmanas$, which are partly in prose and partly in verse, are called the $\bar{A}ranyakas$. $\bar{A}ranyakas$ are so called because the tradition was to study them in forests. Some of the Upanisads are also included in $\bar{A}ranyakas$; hence it is almost impossible to draw a definite boundary line between $\bar{A}ranyakas$ and Upanisads.

According to many ancient scholars hymns of the four *Vedas* and their explanations in the *Brāhmaṇas* both together constitute the *Veda*. The *Brāhmaṇas* have been throughout respected as the *Veda* itself. The rituals have been performed considering the *Brāhmaṇas* as equal to the *Vedas*. In the 19th century *Mahariṣi Dayānanda Sarasvatī* expressed the view that the *Brāhmaṇas* are not the *Veda* itself. According to him, while the *Vedas* are revealations, the *Brāhmaṇas* are not, although expressed by seers.

The most important \overline{A} ranyaka is the Aitareya \overline{A} ranyaka of R gveda. This \overline{A} ranyaka consists of 18 chapters and each chapter is divided into a number of khandas. As mentioned earlier the \overline{A} ranyaka deals with the inner meaning of the sacrifices, observances and rituals. \overline{l} sa, Kena, Katha, Mundaka, \overline{S} vetasvetara and Mahanarayana are poetic compositions and they have great literary merit. The Athervaveda has many Upanisads, and among these Upanisads there are some which are even non-Vedic, in the sense that they have connections with Puranas and Tantra. If the entire Upanisadic literature is taken into account, there are at least 250 Upanisads...

According to the different levels of conditioned consciousness embodied by human beings there are instructions in the Vedas for worship of different controllers, with the aim of reaching different destinations and enjoying different standards of sense enjoyment. \overline{A} gamas (emanated scriptures) are books which are classified into five for this purpose:

Energy - Śakti - Śākta Āgamas

Visible source (Sun) - Sūrya - Soura Āgamas

Controller - Gaṇapati - Gāṇapatya Āgamas

Destroyer - Śiva - Śaiva Āgamas

Ultimate source - Viṣṇu - Vaikhānasa Āgamas

For those who are below the standard for the Vedic purificatory process, Lord $\acute{S}iva$ gave the Tantra $\acute{S}\bar{a}stras$. These have two general classifications, right and left. While the right aspect contains regulations for purification for those who are grossly engaged in meat eating, intoxication and illicit sex, the left aspect contains low class activities like black magic etc.

From the point of view of common human activity sense gratification is the basis of material life. To cater to this aim, there are three paths mentioned in the Vedas.

The $Karma-K\bar{a}nda$ path involves fruitive activities to gain promotion to better planets. Using the methodology from the first five $Ved\bar{a}ngas$, the $Kalpa-s\bar{u}tras$ explain this path. The $Up\bar{a}san\bar{a}-k\bar{a}nda$ involves worshiping different controllers for promotion to their planets. The $\bar{A}gamas$ explain this path. $J\bar{n}\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}nda$ involves realizing the Absolute truth in its impersonal aspect for the

purpose of becoming one with it. The *Upaniṣads* explain this path. Though these paths are all from the *Vedas*, and the *Vedas* do expound on them, one should not think that its all the *Vedas* have. The real purpose of the *Vedas* is to gradually push one in the path of self-realization to the point of surrender in devotional service to the Supreme Personality of Godhead.

There are three different sources of Vedic knowledge, called *prasthāna-traya*. The *Upaniṣads* are known as *śruti-prasthāna*. The scriptures following the principles of the six limbs vedic knowledge (*Vedāngas*) as well as the *Mahābhārata*, the *Bhagavad-gītā*, and the *Purāṇas* are known as *smṛti-prasthāna*. The *Vedānta-sūtras* which present the vedic knowledge on the basis of logic and arguments it is known as *nyāya-prasthāna*. All scientific knowledge of transcendence must be supported by *śruti*, *smṛti* and a sound logical basis (*nyāya*). *Śmṛti and nyāya* always confirm that which is said in the *śruti*.

Vedic injunctions are known as *śruti*. All the spiritual literature from the original *Veda samhitās* up to the *Upaniṣads* are classified as *śruti*. The additional supplementary presentations of these principles as given by the great sages are known as *smṛti*. They are considered as evidence for the *Vedic* principles. Understanding the ultimate goal of life is ascertained in the *Vedānta—sūtras* and argument concerning cause and effect.

There are six aspects of knowledge in the Vedas known as Vedāngas:

 $\acute{S}ik$ ṣ \bar{a} - phonetic science

Vyākaraņa - grammar

Nirukti - context (conclusive meaning)

Chandas - meter

Jyotis - time science (astronomy & astrology)

Kalpa - rituals

The seers who have realized these aspects of knowledge from the *Vedas*, have composed *sūtras* (short but potent phrases which convey a lot of meaning) on each *Vedānga Kalpa-sūtras* are of four categories, viz., *śrouta* (collective sacrifices), *gṛhya* (family rituals), *dharma* (occupational duties) and *śulba* (building of sacrificial fireplaces, altars etc.).

The Aitareya Upanișad belongs to the Rgveda and is found incorporated within the \overline{A} ranyaka of that name. The \overline{A} ranyaka consists of five books in all and the Upaniṣad forms chapters IV to VI of Book 11. There are other diminutive passages in the \bar{A} ranyaka which claim to be the Upaniṣads of the samhitā. The Aitareya Brāhamaṇa and \bar{A} raṇyaka are supposed to have been written by Mahidāsa Aitareya, whose name has thus been bestowed upon them but the Upaniṣads part is attributed to purely human authors like \bar{A} śvalāyana and Śaunaka.2 This Upaniṣad starts on a cosmogonical note. In the beginning \bar{A} tm \bar{a} alone existed. It created the worlds and their presiding deities. They were pervaded by hunger and thirst and hence were allotted their respective places in the human body so that they could appease their hunger and thirst by partaking of food. Food could only be grasped by the $Ap\bar{a}na$ breath. $\bar{A}tman$ then entered the human body and made the heart its abode. The second book contains the ideas of Vāmadeva that when a man is conceived that is his first birth, when he is born that moment is his second birth and when after reaching a ripe old age he leaves for the other world that is his third birth. The third book contains a specific definition of \overline{A} tman and Brahman.

The *Upanisad* does not offer much cultural information, but on the basis of the thought content, the presentation, as also its position of being embedded in situ, it is considered to be a fairly old *Upanisad*. The *Kausitakī Upanisad* also belongs to the Rgveda. A Sākhā of that name is mentioned but is not to be found. The Kauşitakī Brāhmaņa comprising thirty chapters and the Kauşitakī Āraņyaka consisting of fifteen chapters are extant. The Upaniṣad forms chapters III to VI of the Aranyaka. Śankaracārya's commentary on this Upaniṣad is not to be found but he gives extracts from it in his commentary on the Brahma Sūtras. Śaṅkaracārya has written his $Dipik\bar{a}$ on it. The first chapter gives details about- the twofold path of the dead — one, which leads to the moon and back to the earth in the form of insects or beasts or men, the second, Devayāna, leads the departed through the worlds of gods to Brahmaloka. The second chapter deals with a mixture of different topics. It meditates on the life breath as Brahman and at the same time describes some rituals and sacraments. The third chapter establishes the life - breath as the summum bonum of all existence. It shows that all the creatures rest on intelligence, which, in its turn, rests on the life - breath. The fourth chapter narrates the story of King Ajātaśatru of Kāśī and the proud Brāhmaṇa Balaki and in which is also found the famous Sleep Doctrine in a lesser developed form than in the Bṛhadāraṇyaka and the Māṇḍukya. This Upaniṣad is rich in cultural material. The dramatis personae include Indra, Pratardana, Citrā Gāngyāyani, Uddālaka Ārunī and his son Śvetaketu, Ajātaśatru Kāśya and Gārgya Balaki. Many places of interest are mentioned. This Upaniṣad is generally taken to be an early one. The Chāndogya Upaniṣad which belongs to the Kauthuma $S\bar{a}kh\bar{a}$ of the $S\bar{a}maveda$, shares along with the Brhadāranyaka, the honour of being the oldest and the bulkiest text. The Brāhmaṇa of this school comprises forty chapters. The first 25 chapters are

called the Pañcavimśa Brāhmaṇa and the next five chapters the Ṣaḍvimśa Brāhmaṇa. The next two chapters are known as the Mantra Brāhmaṇa and the last eight the Chāndogya Upaniṣad. The last two sections mentioned, together form the text of the Chāndogya Brāhmaṇa. The Chāndogya Upaniṣad has a commentary by \acute{S} ankar \ddot{a} c \ddot{a} rya and a glossary by \ddot{A} nandagiri. It was translated into Persian by Darā Shikoh and his pundits and into French by Duperron. It appeared in the Bibliotheca Indica with a translation by Rajendralal Mitra. It has also been translated into German by Hamm, into Italian by Papesso and into Russian by Syrkin. Morgemoth published a dissertation on it from the Jena University and Syrkin and Yutaka Yuda some papers in Russian and Japanese, respectively. It has eight chapters and, apart from a few verses here and there is entirely in prose. The first two chapters glorify the $Udg\bar{\imath}tha$. The third chapter shows $\bar{A}ditya$ as the honey of the gods which has been brought forward by the Vedas and the Brahma-knowledge. It meditates on man as sacrifice and \overline{A} ditya as Brahman. The fourth chapter narrates the stories of Janaśruti-Raikva and of Satyakāma jābāla as a student of Brahma-knowledge at his teacher's place and later as a teacher himself. The fifth chapter starts with a fable proclaiming the superiority of life breath over other senses and goes on to tell the tale of Pravahana and Śvetaketu which shows a developed version of the Citra story of the Kauṣitakī. The identity of $Vaisv\bar{a}nara\ \bar{A}\ tman$ is made clear in the $\bar{A}\ svapati$ episode. The sixth chapter gives a consolidated lecture on all the current trends of philosophy as delivered to Śvetaketu by his father Uddālaka Āruṇī. The famous formula 'Tattvamasi Śvetaketo!' (That thou art, 0' Śvetaketu!) showing the ultimate unity of the individual soul with the universal soul, also occurs in this chapter. The seventh chapter gives progressive definitions of the Brahman as rendered to Nārada by Sanatkumāra. The last chapter describes the

importance of the knowledge of *Atman* and *Brahman* and shows how the *Asuras* came to accept the wrong doctrine as the truth about *Atman* and how *Indra* by his perseverance ultimately attained the true *Brahma*-knowledge. The *Upaniṣad* is a veritable storehouse of invaluable information about the cultural life of the times.

The Kena Upaniṣad is attached to the Talavakāra recension of the Sāmaveda. The Jaiminīya samhitā and the Jaiminiya Talavakāra Brāhmaņa have been published. The ninth chapter of this Brāhmana goes under the name Kena Upanisad, which is derived from the first word of the text. Commentaries on it by \acute{S} ankara and $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}yana$ and a glossary by \bar{A} nandaj \bar{n} \bar{a} na are available. It was included in the translations of Dara Shikoh and Duperron. An English translation by Sri Aurobindo along with Bengali and Marathi translations are among the more notable ones. Sengaku Mayeda has pubarticles on Śankara's authorship of the Padabhāsya and the Vākyabhāśya and on Nārāyaṇa's authorship of the Kenopaniṣad Dīpikā in Japanese. The Upaniṣad has four sections, the first two in verse and the other two in prose. Deussen, for this reason, takes it as belonging to the transitional phase between the ancient prose Upanisads and the later metrical ones. It shows the gods puzzled by an unknown Yakṣa. They are told by $Um\bar{a}$ Haimavatī that it was Brahman. The first half of the Upaniṣad establishes the identity of the Brahman as that which is the ear of the ear, the mind of the mind, the speech of the speech, the breath of the breath, and the eye of the eye. The Isa Upanișad also named after the first word forms an integral part of the Mādhyandini samhitā of the Śukla Yajurveda. Being directly attached to the $samhit\bar{a}$, it might claim a very early origin but the $\acute{S}ukla$ Yajurveda samhitās are considered to be later than the Kṛṣṇa. Therefore, this

Upaniṣad should be treated as having a later origin. The style in which Brahman is described in the eighteen verses also hints at a later origin. Max Muller has pointed out that the Yajurveda is the liturgical Veda par excellence whereas the Upaniṣads in a way negate the Karmakānḍa, by emphasizing penance austerity and meditation. Therefore, the inclusion of an Upaniṣad within close proximity of the Yajurveda samhitā shows a discrepancy. Be that as it may, there is no doubt as to the importance of this Upaniṣad as a source of philosophy. The Upaniṣad has been translated into English, Hindi, Bengali, Gujarati, Marathi and Telugu. Among the notable commentaries mention might be made of the commentaries of Sri Aurobindo and Vinoba Bhave. It consists of only eighteen verses some of which are addressed to Puṣan, some to Agni and some to Kratu. But the rest of the verses describe Brahman in a well-developed manner. The ethical code of conduct is spelled out.

The $\dot{S}ikla\ Yajurveda$, to which it belongs, has two extant recensions — the $\dot{M}adhyandina$ and the Kanva. Both have their own $\dot{S}atapatha\ Br\bar{a}hmanas$ showing minor variations of the text. The $Brhad\bar{a}ranvaka\ Upanisad$ forms the last six chapters of the fourteenth $K\bar{a}nda$ of the $\dot{S}atapatha\ Br\bar{a}hmana$. There is a commentary by $\dot{S}ankara$ and a glossary by Anandatirtha' on this Upanisad. It has been translated into French by Senart and into Russian by Syrkin. This Upanisad is divided into six chapters and three uvanisation Each pair of chapters is followed by a genealogical list of teachers, who trace their line from uvanisation uvani

describes the universe as a cosmic horse and proceeds to give a detailed account of the creation of the world by Brahman. The importance of the lifebreath is established. Prajāpati is also credited with the creation of the worlds, the creatures and food. A triad of nāma, rūpa and karma is also mentioned. The second chapter starts with the story of Ajātaśatru and Gārgya. It brings out the twofold character of Brahman, the formed and the formless; explains the nature of $\bar{A}tman$ and Brahman in the $Y\bar{a}j\tilde{n}avalkya$ -Maitrey \bar{i} dialogue; describes the $Madhu-Vidy\bar{a}$ and ends with the line of tradition. The third chapter is set in the court of Janaka Vaideha and gives a detailed account of the discussion held between the Brāhmaṇas of Kuru-Pāṇcāla and Yājñavalkya, synthesizing all the Upanisadic thinking. The fourth chapter also consists of Yājñavalkya's lectures on philosophy delivered to Janaka and to Maitreyī. Part of the discourses shows a very developed phraseology and even quotes verses from the \bar{l} sa and the Katha. The fifth chapter opens with Prajātapati's advice to his threefold offspring and goes on to identify Brahman with Satya. Diminutive passages on varied topics follow it. The sixth chapter again relates the fable of the quarrelling senses and the victory of the life-breath. Pravahana's views of life after death occur next. Ritualistic formulas are recorded and a matrilineal list of the teachers concludes the Upanișad. The text abounds in rich cultural material. The dialogues bring long-forgotten names within close contact and we have a glimpse of the relationship between the king and the sages, between husband and wife, between the ritualistic priests and the thinkers. The kingdoms of Kāśī and Videha seem to be gaining popularity as centres of new philosophical thinking. The Taittirīya Upaniṣad belongs to the Taittīrya samhitā of the Kṛṣṇ Yajurveda. There are $Br\bar{a}hmana$ and $\bar{A}ranyaka$ texts of that name as well. The $Taitt\bar{t}rya$ \overline{A} ranyaka has ten chapters, of which chapters VII, VIII and IX are known as

the Taittīrya Upaniṣad and the tenth chapter as the Mahānārāyaṇa Upaniṣad. But the latter is a late supplementary work showing definite signs of being a sectarian Upanisad. Sankarācārya has commented on the Taittīrya Upanisad and Sāyaṇa on the Brāhmaṇa and Āraṇyaka as well. Sureśvara's Vārttika and Anandagiri's Tikā on Śankara Bhāsya are also found. Father Goncalo Fernandez had translated the Bhṛgu Valīi into Portuguese in the Hindu Ceremonial. The first chapter, Śikṣa Vallī deals with the art of pronunciation and the relationship between the teacher and the taught, followed by an explanation to departing students to contemplate on Brahman and Om. Brāhmananda *Vallī*, outlines progressive definitions of *Brāhman* as food as life-breath, as bliss and traces various forms of bliss. The third chapter, Bhṛgu Vallī contains the dialogue between *Bhrgu* and *Varuna* in which again *Brahman* is known by progressive stages as food, breath, mind, intelligence and bliss. The last part illumines the importance of food. The Katha Upanisads is easily one of the most popular Upaniṣads. The legend of Yama-Naciketā is a byword for Upaniṣadic thought. It is attributed to the Kaṭha Sākhā of the Kṛṣṇ Yajurveda, although another opinion holds it as an Atharvanic Upanisad. It has two chapters with three Vallis each and is entirely in verse. Śankara has written a commentary and Gopalayogin and \bar{A} nandagiri have written Glossaries on it. It has been translated into English, Hindi, Bengali, Kannada and Tamil. Two scholars have published articles on whether there is Buddhist influence on the Katha or not. An article has also been published on the - Kathopanişad Śankara bhāṣya in Japanese. The story goes that Vājaśravas Gautama, while performing a sacrifice, was giving away barren and useless cows to the priests as fees. Seeing this, his son Naciketā was aggrieved and asked him "for whom shall you give me?" The father irritably said "For Yama". The son took him literally and went willingly to the abode of Yama. He stayed there for three

nights without food or drink as Yama was away from home. As a consequence of his wait Yama offered him three boons - one that his father be happy to see him again; second knowledge of the fire sacrifice through which people gain heaven; and third the truth about life and death. The philosophy that is expounded by Yama is no longer in course of development. The phraseology is well-formed and many images are reminiscent of the Bhagavadgītā. It seems to come before the Mundaka, the Śvetāśvetara and the Maitrāyaṇi but later than the others. The Śvetāśvetara Upaniṣad is handed down as one of the thirty-three Upaniṣads of the Taittirīya school of the Kṛṣṇ Yajurveda, though it could have been connected with the non extant Śvetāśvetara samhitā. It has been commented upon by Śankara, Vijñānātman and Śaṅkarānanda among others. A translation in English by Rājagopalachari and in German by Wilhelm Rau are noteworthy. There are six chapters all composed in verse. The first chapter analyses the causes of birth and death, pleasure and pain and brings out the point that knowledge and austerity make one realize the self. The second chapter commences with a prayer to Sāvitrī and goes on to detail the practice and profit of Yoga. The third chapter establishes the superiority of Rudra Siva over all others by identifying him with Brahman. The fourth chapter keeps up the description of the universal and individual souls. Many verses are common to the \bar{l} sa the Katha and the Mundaka. The phraseology and the descriptions of the individual soul and the universal soul is very well developed. Details about Yoga and Rudra's almost sectarian character have led scholars to set a later date to this Upanișad. The Maitri or Maitrayaņi Upanișad belongs to the Maitrāyaṇi Sākhā of the Black Yajurveda, though some texts attribute it to the Sāmaveda. Śankara has not written any commentary on it. This Upaniṣad opens in a dramatic manner Bṛhadratha, a king of the Ikṣvāku line having

renounced his kingdom, goes to the forest for meditation. The sage $S\bar{a}nkayanya$ arrives on the scene and instructs him as to the $BrahmaVidy\bar{a}$ in order to liberate him from the birth-cycle; the same $vidy\bar{a}$ that was given to him by the revered $Maitr\bar{i}$ and to the $V\bar{a}likhilyas$ by $Praj\bar{a}pati$. The dialogue is supposed to have continued till the end of the seventh chapter but most people are of the opinion that the older part of the Upanisad ends with the fourth chapter and the later three chapters were added at a considerably later time. The general picture of society emerging from the $Maitr\bar{a}yani$ Upanisad seems to be of a later time than that of the $Brhad\bar{a}ranyaka$ or the $Ch\bar{a}ndogya$. The thought content also, as shown by several scholars, stem from a later date. This, then, can be taken as the lower terminal of the Upanisadic culture. There are scholarly discussions on whether or not this Upanisad comes before the Buddha.

The *Praśna Upaniṣad* belongs to the *Paippalāda Sākhā* of the *Atharva Veda*. Neither the *Brāhmaṇa*, *Āraṇyaka Kalpa Sūtras* of this *Sākhā* have been found. The *Upaniṣad* has commentaries by *Śaṅkara* and by *Ānandagiri*. It is written mostly in prose with occasional verses. Six learned men, all desirous of more knowledge visit the sage *Pippalāda*. Their questions are recorded in the six sections and also give the *Upaniṣad* its name. These questions concern the creation of the universe, its basis, the origin of life-breath, the sleep doctrine, the superiority of *Om*. The answers show a well developed *Upaniṣadic* philosophy. The layout shows a pre-meditated plan and not the spontaneity of the earlier texts. Another pointer to its later origin is that the dialogue is entirely between the *Brāhmaṇas*. This according to Deussen, is a sure sign that the *Brāhmaṇas* had assimilated the new *guhya* doctrines of the *kṣhatriyas* and were preaching them as their own. Two names among the questioners are worthy of attention:

Kauśalyā and Vaidarbhī. Kośala, along with Kāśī and Videha seems to have been the hub of the Upanişadic culture but Vidarbha is the only word in the Upanisads referring to the region south of the Vindhyas. The Mundaka Upanișad is related to the Śaunaka Sākhā of the, Atharva Veda but its relation to any $Br\bar{a}hmana$ or $\bar{A}ranyaka$ has not been established. It has a commentary by \acute{S} and glossary by \ddot{A} nandaj $\~{n}$ \ddot{a} na. There are three chapters with two sections each, written in verse. It shows the clear influence of the Katha and the Śvetāśvetara Upaniṣads. All the other Upaniṣads also declare that sacrifices are insufficient for attaining permanent bliss but this is the only Upanișad which clearly states that sacrifices are like frail boats, unable to carry one ashore. The word Mundaka, derived from the root Mund, 'to Shave', might have meant that the Upanisad was addressed to the shaved ones, the Samnyāsins - or that it was inspired by the shavelings, the Buddhist monks, or that it shaved away ignorance. The first chapter distinguishes between the knowledge and false and emphasizes the permanent nature of the bliss obtainable as a result of austerity and penance and not sacrifices. The second chapter describes Brahman as the universal soul from which this universe finds expression and which can be realized with the true Upanişadic knowledge and meditation on Om. The third chapter shows that the World Soul can be realized by the individual and that this realization is the ultimate aim of all religious practices. The Māṇḍukya Upaniṣad consists of twelve verses and is believed to be an Atharvanic Upanișad. It identifies Om with the fourfold Brahman and equates the three syllables a-u-m with the three states of waking, dream and dreamless sleep. Gaudapāda (Śankarācārya's teacher's teacher) wrote his famous $K\bar{a}rika$ on it and $S'a\dot{n}kara$ has commented on the Upanisad as well as the Kārika. Scholars have pointed out that the Upanisad presents the very ideas preached by the Advaita philosophy and

that it was probably written by a teacher, once or twice removed from *Gauḍapāda*. The *Upaniṣad* is of no help whatsoever regarding cultural life. It only shows a logical development of the sleep Doctrine of *Ajātaśatru* as described in the *Kauṣitakī* and the *Bṛhadāraṇyaka*.